

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/692,084	10/19/2000	Katherine S. Lam	SOL-130 7954	
75	90 12/11/2003		EXAM	INER
Barry R. Lipsitz			LANIER, BENJAMIN E	
Law Offices of Barry R. Lipsitz 755 Main Street, Building 8			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Monroe, CT 06468			2132	()
			DATE MAILED: 12/11/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

V

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/692,084	LAM ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
•		Benjamin E Lanier	2132			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	December to a communication (a) filed an 20 A	(aa.mha.r. 2002				
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 A					
2a)□	<b>,</b>	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> ; 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,7-12 and 14-26</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
`	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 3-5, 7-12, 14-21, 23-26</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 October 2000 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s). 🕍 . latent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and T.	rademark Office		······································			

Application/Control Number: 09/692,084

. Art Unit: 2132

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment of claims 1, 7, 8, 12, 18, 19, 23, 24, has been fully considered and is entered.

### Response to Amendment

2. Applicant's arguments, see Amendment C, filed 20 November 2003, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1,3-5,7-12 and 14-26 under Thompson and Sugisaki have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Katta, U.S. Patent No. 5,706,346.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the

Art Unit: 2132

reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 1, 3-5, 7-12, 14-16, 18-21, 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Katta, U.S. Patent No. 5,706,346. Referring to claims 1, 5, 7, 8, 12, 16, 18, 19, 23-26, Katta discloses scrambling apparatus wherein video data is analyzed before it is scrambled to determine of there is dummy data inserted therein. Upon determination of dummy data in the video data a switch is used to control the scrambling so that only the relevant data is scrambled (Col. 10, line 53 – Col. 12, line 11), which meets the limitation of determining a dynamic range of bits defined by a most significant non-zero data bit and scrambling the selected number of LSBs. Once scrambled the data is partially but not completely discernible (Col. 2, line 13), which meets the limitation of the scrambled samples being degraded but still recognizable.

Referring to claims 3, 14, Katta discloses that the video data may be scrambled by frame units (Col. 3, lines 29-30).

Referring to claims 4, 15, Katta discloses that the scrambling key can be pseudo random (Col. 1, lines 24-30).

Referring to claims 9-11, 20, 21Katta discloses that the scrambling key can be included (embedded) in the scrambled sample (Col. 6, lines 51-59).

### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 22 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/692,084

. Art Unit: 2132

Page 4

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art does not disclose the scrambling of the scrambling key after the sample has been descrambled.

#### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin E Lanier whose telephone number is 703-305-7684. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th0 7:30am-5:00pm, F 7:30am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on (703)305-1830. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-746-7239.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Benjamin E. Lanier

GILBERTO BARRON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100